

(Counsel listed on next page)

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

SANTEYA DANYELL WILLIAMS, MARY
RUTH SCOTT, KAREN LATREECE
COLEMAN, PRISCILLA BUNTON, and
ALYCE DENISE PAYNE, on behalf of
themselves and all others similarly situated,

Plaintiffs,

v.

CITY OF ANTIOCH,

Defendant.

No. C-08-2301 SBA

[PROPOSED] JUDGMENT

NO.: C-08-2301 SBA

[PROPOSED] JUDGMENT

1 Impact Fund
2 BRAD SELIGMAN (SBN 083838)
bseligman@impactfund.org
3 JOCELYN D. LARKIN (SBN 110817)
125 University Avenue, Suite 102
4 Berkeley, CA 94710
Telephone: 510.845.3473
5 Facsimile: 510.845.3654

6 Bingham McCutchen LLP
FRANK B. KENNAMER (SBN 157844)
7 Three Embarcadero Center
San Francisco, CA 94111
8 Telephone: 415.393.2000
Facsimile: 415.393.2286
9

10 Lawyers' Committee For Civil Rights
of the San Francisco Bay Area
11 OREN M. SELLSTROM (SBN 161074)
131 Steuart Street, Suite 400
12 San Francisco, CA 94105
Telephone: 415.543.9444
13

14 American Civil Liberties Union
Foundation of Northern California
15 ALAN L. SCHLOSSER (SBN 49957)
39 Drumm Street
San Francisco, CA 94111
16 Telephone: 415.621.2493

17 Public Advocates, Inc.
RICHARD A. MARCANTONIO (SBN 139619)
18 131 Steuart Street, Suite 300
San Francisco, CA 94105
19 Telephone: 415.431.7430

20 Covington & Burling LLP
HAYWOOD S. GILLIAM, JR. (SBN 172732)
21 hgilliam@cov.com
One Front Street
22 San Francisco, CA 94111
Telephone: 415.591.6000
23 Facsimile: 415.591.6091

24 Attorneys for Plaintiffs and Certified Class
25
26
27
28

McNamara, Ney, Beatty, Slattery,
Borges & Ambacher
THOMAS G. BEATTY (SBN 75794)
JAMES V. FITZGERALD, III (SBN
55632)
1211 Newell Avenue, P.O. Box 5288
Walnut Creek, CA 94596
Telephone: 925.939.5530
Facsimile: 925.939.0203

Attorneys for Defendant
CITY OF ANTIOCH

1
2 WHEREAS, on January 6, 2012, this Court granted preliminary approval of the
3 settlement of this action, embodied in the Settlement Agreement, attached as Exhibit 1 to this
4 Court's Order Granting Preliminary Approval of Settlement;
5

6 WHEREAS, on _____, 2012, the Court granted final approval of the settlement,
7 finding that the settlement is fair, reasonable and adequate within the meaning of Fed. R. Civ. P.
8 23(e) and applicable law;

9 WHEREAS, the Court has found that the notice sent to the Class Members fairly and
10 adequately informed the Class of the terms of the settlement, was consistent with Fed. R. Civ. P.
11 23(e) and due process, and was given in the manner prescribed by the Settlement Agreement and
12 the Court's order preliminarily approving the settlement:
13

14 **IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED THAT:**

15 1. In accordance with the Settlement Agreement, attached as Exhibit 1 to the
16 _____, 2012, Order Granting Final Approval of Settlement, this Court hereby enters final
17 judgment in this action, and dismisses this action with prejudice.

18 2. The Court retains jurisdiction to enforce the settlement for three years following
19 the entry of the Final Approval Order. The Court appoints Magistrate Judge Corley under Fed.
20 R. Civ. P. 53 to act as a Magistrate Judge to consider claims of violations of the Agreement.
21

22 **IT IS SO ORDERED**

23 Dated this ____ day of _____, 2012.
24
25

26 _____
27 Hon. Jacqueline Scott Corley
28 United States District Court, Northern District of California